



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/819,330	03/28/2001	Akira Matsumoto	939_023	4237

25191 7590 05/17/2002

BURR & BROWN
PO BOX 7068
SYRACUSE, NY 13261-7068

[REDACTED]

PATEL, TULSIDAS C

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

2839

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/819,330	MATSUMOTO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
T. C. Patel	2839	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 3/28/2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 - a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

General Status

1. This is a First Action on the Merits. Claims 1-6, are pending in the case.

Drawings

2. Figure 4 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Objection under 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71 because failing to provide an enabling disclosure.

It is not clear how the inequality $L/6 \leq Y \leq L$ and $L/4 \leq Y \leq L$ cited on page 4 of the specification can be satisfied. (For $L=Y=0$, the inequality can be mathematically satisfied, however, this will not leave any invention.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In Claim 1, it is not clear how the limitation of inequality $L/6 \leq Y \leq L$ can be met. Since it will require $L/6 = Y = L$ as one of the conditions. The Examiner has interpreted the inequality to mean $L/6 < Y < L$. Similar recitation is also present in claim 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuyama et al. (US 6,215,945).

Fukuyama et al. in figure3, discloses fiber optic array comprising a holding member with V-shaped grooves, the fourth fiber 20 (fourth from the left) would be more than 5 times the radius of the fiber, which is in the magnitude of 125 microns, and the fiber projects about 10 microns from the surface of the holder, so the inequality $L/6 < Y < L$ will be satisfied, where Y being distance from the contact point to the top of the fiber. Though all the dimensions are not specifically mentioned in the specification, it is generally well known in the

Art Unit: 2839

art to make pitch between the fibers as 250 microns, angle for V at about 70 degrees and therefore the inequality will be mathematically satisfied. It is also noted that the cover and holder are adhesively connected, also see figure 9). For claim 2, site is taken at apex at 13, which is substantially equal to distance Y. For claim 3, inequality is discussed above. For claim 4,

8. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuyama et al. (US 6,215,945) in view of EP 943, 942.

As discussed above, Fukuyama et al. satisfies the limitations of claims 1-3. However, Fukuyama does not disclose the width of cover plate being different than the holding member. EP 943 942, in figure 5, discloses cover plate being different than the holder and also discloses a step at 58. For claim 4, the selection of adhesive is a matter of design choice.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide step as taught by EP '942, in the holder to accommodate sheath of cladding of the optical fiber and also provide the cover plate to be of different width than the holder as taught by EP '942, so as to provide space for soldering the cover plate with the holder.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's invention. Yamashita et al. (US 6,324,332), Ota et al. (US 6,195,495) and Ota et al. (US 6,215,944) all disclose holder for optical fibers.

Applicant also should consider these references in response to this office action. Should issue arise concerning the rejection presented above, these references may be relied

Art Unit: 2839

upon in a subsequent action to support the lack of novelty or obviousness of claimed subject matter to one of ordinary skill in the art.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to T. C. Patel whose telephone number is (703) 308-1736. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (703) 308-3119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1736.

T. C. Patel

T. C. Patel
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2839

tcp
May 15, 2002